

trade as well, including the workers who lose their job through no fault of their own. We should have a full package which includes a revamp of the Trade Adjustment Assistance Program for the first time since 1962 when it was created by the Kennedy Administration. So I hope that the leadership of the House, the Republican leadership, will have the wisdom if they really want to pass a TPA bill and proceed to the Senate for a real Trade Adjustment Assistance reform package.

PREVENTING CHILD ABDUCTIONS

(Mr. LAMPSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I have been getting up every day for the last several months and talking about Ludwig Koons who has been held in Italy illegally after being taken away from the United States of America, and by now we all know the name Elizabeth Smart, the young girl who was abducted from her bedroom in Salt Lake City, Utah. In light of the tragedy of her disappearance and on behalf of the Missing and Exploited Children's Caucus here in Congress, I would ask and send our prayers to Elizabeth and to her family, but I would also like to remind parents all across this country to talk to your kids about their personal safety, talk to them about the "Know the Rules" educational program put out by the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. And I would urge every parent to log on to www.missingkids.com and learn what they can do to protect and educate their children.

Nearly 2,000 children are reported missing every day in the United States of America, and we all must be prepared as parents and grandparents to deal with the tragedy, should it occur. That means having updated quality photographs and knowing our children's height and weight. We should be aware that looking at pictures of missing kids brings them home and that we commit ourselves to looking at the photos we get in the mail or we see in a grocery store. If we all do our part, together we can prevent abductions and bring children like Elizabeth and like Ludwig Koons home where they belong.

PRIVATIZATION OF U.S. AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, A BAD IDEA

(Mr. HOLDEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HOLDEN. Mr. Speaker, recently the idea of privatizing the United States air traffic control system has been given a renewed life. Mr. Speaker, that would be a very bad idea. A competent and reliable air traffic control system is vital to the safety of our flying public, and public safety is a re-

sponsibility of the Government. Simply put, air traffic controllers perform an inherently governmental function for our Nation.

Mr. Speaker, proponents of privatizing air traffic controllers like to cite three countries they consider to be successful examples of privatization: Australia, Great Britain, and Canada. Unless we consider a walkout, a pending bankruptcy and a pending labor strike to be examples of success, I would respectfully disagree. Let us face the fact that privatization of air traffic control does not work.

On September 11 of last year, air traffic controllers proved their worth as inherently governmental employees. Our air traffic control system is the envy of the world. Let us keep it that way.

APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON H.R. 4775, 2002 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR FURTHER RECOVERY FROM AND RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE UNITED STATES

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 4775) making supplemental appropriations for further recovery from and response to terrorist attacks on the United States for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SIMPSON). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

MOTION TO INSTRUCT OFFERED BY MR. OBEY

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to instruct conferees.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. OBEY moves that the managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the Senate amendment to H.R. 4775 be instructed to insist, for each item directly related to the war on terrorism or homeland security, on the higher dollar amount in either the House bill or the Senate amendment and to disagree to any item that appropriates additional funds earmarked for a specific project not related to the war on terrorism or homeland security.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) will be recognized for 30 minutes and the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG) will be recognized for 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY).

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 9 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, the motion to instruct conferees directs the House Members serving on the conference to convert what has been all too often merely a rhetorical assault on terrorism into a real war. It requires that we go to the higher dollar figure on any item directly related to the war on terrorism, and it also directs that we delete from

the conference report funding for any item that is earmarked for a specific project or individual Member of Congress. That is to ensure that this supplemental remains focused on the job before us, fighting terrorism, and that those engaged in that war on behalf of the American people have the resources that they need to conduct that war.

I find that ordinary people are somewhat amazed when they find that, despite all the rhetoric about a war on terrorism, we often continue to decline to provide the resources needed to actually conduct that effort. One example is the fact that the Pentagon called up 80,000 Guard and Reservists following September 11.

We need those Reserves because our regular force is overwhelmed with all of the requirements being placed on them. If you do not believe me, Secretary Rumsfeld has made that statement. We do not have enough mechanics to keep all of our planes in the air, we do not have enough MPs to protect our bases and guard prisoners. But, remarkably, when the Pentagon told the White House budget office that it would cost \$5.8 billion more than was contained in the regular fiscal 2003 appropriation bill to pay the cost of those Guards and Reservists called up to active duty, the White House budget office told them they could only have \$4.1 billion. As a result, many of those reserves will have to be sent home early, unless we appropriate a considerable amount above the White House request. In my view, this is ludicrous. It is one of those situations that continues because it is so outrageous that nobody really believes it is going on.

The truth is that some of the same people at the other end of the avenue who give lectures about the war on terrorism, particularly in the OMB, are particularly stingy when it comes to providing cash that is actually needed to conduct the effort. As a result, the resources needed by those who are actually engaged in that effort are not getting there and will not get there unless Congress acts to reverse the request.

I would give the House another example. We have heard a lot of talk in the last several days about a dirty bomb. The President and Secretary of Energy, a former Republican Senator, a man named to that office by the President and confirmed by the Senate when it was still in Republican hands, a man respected on both sides of the aisle, Secretary Abraham, has asked that we spend some money in this bill to deny terrorists access to the radioactive materials that could be used to build a dirty bomb. He asked that we clean up sites where we used to make nuclear weapons and increase security at those sites. He asked that we relocate low level radioactive materials in a central depository. He asked that we provide more security for the movement of nuclear weapons.

This the White House Budget Director did not say no to, they said "hell,